

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,567	02/24/2004	Jeffrey Cheng	51989/DBP/S295	9853
23363 7	590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, P PO BOX 7068	ARKER & HALE, LLP	PARRIES, DRU M		
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2836	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		μ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/785,567	CHENG, JEFFREY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dru M. Parries	2836
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 F	February 2004.	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application or the second in the se	cation No eived in this National Stage
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/785,567 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alexandropoulos (2004/0164615). Alexandropoulos teaches a door unlocking method for an automobile that has a sensing circuit (116) that detects when the door handle is being lifted (shaking movements). He also teaches defining a plurality of predetermined time periods (3 sec.) and a plurality of predetermined count values corresponding to the time periods. He also teaches a control circuit (128), coupled to the sensing circuit and the interior door lock (112), which unlocks an automobile door when the detected shaking movements with the corresponding time periods match the predetermined count values, and maintaining the doors locked if they don't match. He also teaches after unlocking has occurred, locking the door if the door isn't opened within a delay time period (Fig. 5C). The control circuit includes a timer and counter for the time periods and count values, respectively. The sensing circuit generates a voltage signal, as a digital pulse, for each detected shaking movement (near end of [0036]). (Figs. 1, 4, 5; [0034])

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/785,567

Art Unit: 2836

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexandropoulos (2004/0164615). Alexandropoulos teaches a door unlocking method as described above. He doesn't explicitly teach first and second count values being three and five, respectively. However, choosing the values of three and five instead of two and one, which was taught in the prior art is clearly a marketing choice. The prior art just gave those values as an arbitrary example, but could have used any number of values (i.e. three and five). The count values being three and five show no marked improvement over the prior art, therefore it would have been obvious to use any number as the count value, namely three and five. See MPEP 716.02.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on 571-272-2800 x 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/785,567

Art Unit: 2836

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMP

9-14-2006

BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

Page 4